

# Shock and Horror

Shock and Horror is the reaction of the German people to the victory of Donald Trump. In the UK also, with many people there is 'shock and horror' – some people even going so far as to say, in private, that they felt physically sick at the result.

Well, I am one Englishman who wishes to salute Donald Trump. What he accomplished last night was simply phenomenal. I did kip for 2 hours between 3 AM and 5 AM. Before I retired, Florida was still in the balance, and so was Iowa. When I put my TV on again shortly after 5 AM, Florida and Iowa had been won and Pennsylvania was to follow. At about 7.30 AM the followers of Hillary Clinton were advised to go home, but the battle was not finished.

Some 10 minutes later, news filtered through that Hillary Clinton had phoned Donald Trump and conceded victory. At that time Donald Trump had still not cleared the finishing line, but not soon after he did.

Shock and horror! Well, it may have been shock and horror for some, but for me it was double Brexit. On June 23<sup>rd</sup> Britain achieved independence from the EU. On November 8<sup>th</sup> 2016 Donald Trump brought off a political *coup* the like of which we are never likely to see again.

He brought it off against overwhelming odds. A billionaire, with no political previous experience, had first to battle with his own Party of Republicans. He had to continue without the support of many bigwigs in his own Party, who sort of held their noses in disdain, and then he battled against the odds with an experienced politician, a lady who was still married to a former President, President Clinton.

The odds against his winning were enormously high, added to which the American system itself was stacked against him.

I have heard some of my fellow countrymen suggest that Americans must have been uneducated to have voted for such a brash fellow. Even now in the UK I hear the same argument employed. 'We only have Brexit because of a few uneducated Northerners, Labour supporters, who did not even know their own Party's manifesto'. Such a disdainful argument, such affected superiority, is an insult to our Brexiteers and equally an insult to the American people. It suggests that all those on the Right are somewhat more dim-witted than those on the Left. Glory be!

Not only has Donald Trump become President-elect, he has also ushered in a Republican majority in both the House of Representatives and the Senate. He need not be a lame-duck President. Hopefully he will stop spending millions of US dollars in trying to prevent the natural evolution of the Planet – which is called by some, fighting against 'climate change'. If ever there was a stupid waste of money and resources, that one takes the biscuit. If this is an English expression I am confident that readers of American Thinker will get the meaning. At this very moment some 20,000 Climate fanatics are camped out in Marrakesh, North Africa, intent on ruining world economies. Donald Trump will not be hoaxed! What a relief!

He has more or less single-handed taken on a great nation and won. That is some achievement. If I were alone, let this one Englishman applaud him. I believe he will grow in stature – I believe that he will become a great President of the United States of America.

# Trump and Hillary on Climate

On the campaign trail, Trump, a Republican, backed more fossil fuel production in the U.S. and vowed to “cancel” the Paris agreement. He has repeatedly suggested that climate change is a hoax. His Democratic challenger Hillary Clinton, in contrast, has called for urgent action on climate change.

There in a nutshell you have the difference between the two challengers for the Presidency of the United States of America

Some apparently highly intelligent people constantly talk about ‘tackling climate change’. But is this intelligent? This is not a question of science, but a question of definitions and of the correct use of the English language.

Strictly speaking to talk about tackling climate change is an affront to intelligence and an affront to language. How is climate defined? ‘**The weather conditions prevailing in an area in general or over a long period**’. So we see at once that climate is intimately connected to the weather.

Change is defined as make something different. So, what does all that mean? It means in a nutshell that all those who are fighting climate change want to make the weather static. Ugh?

Can you imagine anything more ridiculous? It is like saying, ‘I am against tomorrow’. Only an imbecile would make such a statement. Yet we have world leaders, Presidents, Popes and Prime Ministers all trying to stop change.

Of course, the unDemocrats are rioting. They are burning effigies of Donald Trump.

These unDemocrats are against democracy, even though they call themselves Democrats. We have the same phenomenon in England. A democratic referendum took place, where the majority wanted to leave the EU. So the unDemocrats are peeved. Same thing is happening on a bigger scale in the United States.

Purely on the scale of intelligence the American people should congratulate themselves in having elected indisputably the most intelligent of the contenders.

Anthony Bright-Paul

09/11/2016